

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,739	07/03/2003	Arthur M. Krieg	C01037.70043.US 4713	
7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
Maria A. Trevisan Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue			BROWN, TIMOTHY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA (Boston, MA 02210			-
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r .	3	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/613,739	KRIEG, ARTHUR M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Timothy M. Brown	1648			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 May 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject	awn from consideration.	rement.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to by the le drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ir No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This Non-Final Office Action is responsive to the communication received October 31, 2003. Claims 1-21, 23, 28-33, 44, 46-58, 64-66, 71-74, 77-81, 84, 85, 89, 90, 95, 96, 98 and 99 are pending. Claims 22, 24-27, 34-43, 45, 59-63, 67-70, 75, 76, 82, 83, 86-88, 91-94 and 97 have been canceled.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, 23, 28-33, 44, 46-58, 64-66, 71-74, 77-81, 84, 85, 89, 90, 95, 96 and 98, drawn to a composition comprising an immunostimulatory sequence and a *microbial antigen*, and a method of administering said composition, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 1-21, 23, 28-33, 44, 46-58, 64-66, 71-74, 77-81, 84, 85, 89, 90, 95, 96 and 98, drawn to a composition comprising an immunostimulatory sequence and a *cancer antigen*, and a method of administering said composition, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claims 1-21, 23, 28-33, 44, 46-58, 64-66, 71-74, 77-81, 84, 85, 89, 90, 95, 96 and 98, drawn to a composition comprising an immunostimulatory sequence and an *allergen*, and a method of administering said composition, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IV. Claim 99, drawn to a method for identifying an immunostimulatory nucleic acid, classified in classified in class 435, subclass 7.21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1648

Inventions I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the specification does not teach a process wherein the claimed antigens are administered simultaneously. For example, the specification does not teach administering a cancer antigen in combination with an allergan. Inventions I-IV also have different functions in that Inventions I-IV are drawn to processes for treating different diseases. For at least these reasons, Inventions I-IV are unrelated.

Inventions I-III are unrelated to Invention IV. This results because each of Inventions I-III has a different function than Invention IV. Inventions I-III are drawn to methods for treating disease. Invention IV, in contrast, is a screening assay for identifying potential immunostimulatory sequences. Inventions I-III are therefore unrelated to Invention IV due to their different functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I-III. The restriction requirement is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented

Art Unit: 1648

in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

An election of Invention I requires a further election of one of the following microbial antigen species is required:

- i. Bacterial
- ii. Fungal
- iii. Viral
- iv. Parasitic

Should Applicants elect to prosecute a viral antigen, a further election of one of the following species of virus is required:

- v. HIV
- vi. HTLV
- vii. HSV
- viii. HPV
- ix. HAV
- x. HBV
- xi. HCV
- xii. EBV
- xiii. Cytomegalovirus

Art Unit: 1648

xiv. Molluscum contagiosum virus

xv. Haemophilus

Should Applicants elect to prosecute a bacterial antigen, a further election of one of the following bacterial species is required:

xvi. Campylobacter

xvii. Clostridium

xviii. E. coli

xix. Staphylococcus

Species i-xix are patentably distinct from one another because they are unrelated. As noted above, inventions are unrelated if they are not disclosed as capable of use together, and have different functions. Here, the specification does not disclose using the antigens of Species i-xix simultaneously. Species i-xix also have different functions in that they are drawn to treating different diseases. Accordingly Species i-xix are unrelated.

An election of Invention II requires a further election of one of the following species of anticancer agent:

xx. Chemotherapeutic agent

xxi. A cancer vaccine

xxii. An Immunotherapeutic agent

Species xx-xxii are unrelated because they are drawn to treating different diseases and therefore have different functions.

An election of Invention II requires a further election of one of the cancer species listed in claim 95. These cancer species are patentably distinct because they are unrelated due to

Art Unit: 1648

different their different functions. That is, the species limit the invention to treating different forms of disease.

An election of Invention III requires a further election of one of the species of allergy/asthma medicament listed in claim 15. These species are unrelated because they have different modes of operation. The chemical compositions give the species different biological activities and mechanisms of operation. The species are therefore unrelated due to their different modes of operation.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-0773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy M. Brown Examiner Art Unit 1648

tmb

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

1/4/05

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-21,23,28-33,44,46-58,64-66,71-74,77-81,84,85,89,90,95,96,98 and 99.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-21,23,28-33,44,46-58,64-66,71-74,77-81,84,85,89,90,95,96,98 and 99.